

**FILED**

**JAN 16 2008**

**RICHARD W. WIEKING**  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and  
Jeanette Farmer, Revenue Officer,**

**Petitioners,**

**v.**

**Labib Barance,**

**Respondent.**

**NO. 08-5733 MHP**  
**[Proposed]**  
**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE  
ENFORCEMENT OF INTERNAL  
REVENUE SERVICE SUMMONS**

Good cause having been shown by the petitioner upon its petition filed in the above-entitled proceeding on Dec 23, 2008, 2009, it is hereby:

**ORDERED** that respondent Labib Barance appear before this Court on the 9<sup>th</sup> day of March 2009, at 3:00 p.m., in Courtroom No. 15, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor, United States District Court, 400 GOLDEN GATE AVE. SAN FRANCISCO California, and then and there show cause, if any, why respondent should not be compelled to appear and provide documents and testimony as required by the summons heretofore served upon respondent as alleged and set forth in particular in said petition; and it is further

**ORDERED** that a copy of this Order to Show Cause, together with a copy of the aforesaid petition, be served upon said respondent in accordance with Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure at least thirty-five (35) days before the return date of this Order above

1 specified; and it is further

2 **ORDERED** that within twenty-one (21) days before the return date of this Order,  
3 respondent may file and serve a written response to the petition, supported by appropriate  
4 affidavit(s) or declaration(s) in conformance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, as well as any motion  
5 respondent desires to make, that the petitioner may file and serve a written reply to such  
6 response, if any, within fourteen (14) days before the return date of this Order; that all motions  
7 and issues raised by the pleadings will be considered on the return date of this Order, and only  
8 those issues raised by motion or brought into controversy by the responsive pleadings and  
9 supported by affidavit(s) or declaration(s) will be considered at the return of this Order, and any  
10 uncontested allegation in the petition will be considered admitted.

11 **ORDERED** this 15<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2009, at San Francisco  
12 California.

13  
14  
15  
16   
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28